Development of Protocols for Determining Deleterious Material Content in Crushed Recycled Glass

Fiona Nutbeam, Matthew Scarborough & Mandar Dewoolkar Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering University of Vermont

September 14, 2022 VTrans Research Symposium

Acknowledgments

Funding for this project is provided by TIDC at the University of Maine under grant 69A3551847101 from the U.S. DOT's University Transportation Centers Program. Additional financial support is provided by CSWD.

In-kind support by VTrans and VTANR is gratefully acknowledged.

Special thanks to Drs. Greg Rowangould, Ehsan Ghazanfari, Rory Waterman, Emily Parkany and Ian Anderson, and Josh Tyler, Tanya Miller, Callie Ewald, August Arles, Nick Van Den Berg, James Surwilo, Josh Kelly, Aric Brown, Sarah Foy for advice and help.



Transportation Infrastructure Durability Center AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MAINE



AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION









What is Processed Glass Aggregate (PGA)?

Crushed recycled glass

Contains some **deleterious materials** (e.g. paper, plastic, metal, ceramics)

Gradation and composition of PGA may vary across production facilities



What do we know?

Similar geotechnical properties to typical sand borrow

It can be used as a sand borrow or high quality fill

No major concerns of dangerous contaminants

Strong interest in using recycled materials, but how much deleterious content (and plastic) is in PGA?

Current method – visual counting

PGA use in Northeast

20 out of 22 U.S. states had specific specifications for deleterious material content In Northeast: CT, MA, NH, NY, PA, VT

0.05% to 10% deleterious material

Current Vermont specification

Contaminants greater than 1% by weight shall be grounds for rejection

"Small amounts" (less than 5% total) of china dishes, ceramics, plate glass, or other glass products

No more than trace amounts of screw tops, plastic cap rings, or other contaminants

Our Goals:

1. Develop simple processes to determine deleterious material content

2. Assess engineering properties

Lab-Manufactured PGA (LM-PGA)

3. Economic analysis to catalyze use of PGA

Recycled Facility PGA (RF-PGA)









Methods:



Magnet

Provided accurate measurements of ferrous metal content

Trace amounts measured due to impurities in glass



Furnace (550°C)

Worked accurately for plastics, newspaper, food

Relatively less accurate for office paper



Float

Worked well for plastic Not that well for paper

Acid Washing for aluminum - did not work well

Testing Protocol Development

<u>Protocol 1</u>: Magnet + Furnace (for determining overall deleterious content)

<u>Protocol 2</u>: Magnet + Float (for determining upper limit on plastics content)

<u>LM-PGA sample LMO</u>: 98% glass + 2% deleterious organics (0.5% office paper + 0.5% newspaper + 0.5% sugar + 0.5% peanut butter)

<u>LM-PGA sample LMP</u>: 98% glass + 2% deleterious (0.4% office paper + 0.4% newspaper + 0.5% HDPE plastic + 0.5% PP plastic + 0.2% steel)

<u>RF-PGA</u>: Four samples – three from three different locations from a pile; one sample produced on a different date

6 samples of 100 g each tested for repeatability and statistics.

Results

| LM-PGA | Protocol 1 | | Protocol 2 | |
|----------------------|------------|---------|------------|-------|
| | Magnet | Furnace | Magnet | Float |
| LMO | 0.01% | 1.93% | 0.02% | 0.02% |
| Ideal Measurement | 0% | 2% | 0% | 0% |
| LMP | 0.21% | 1.92% | 0.22% | 1.22% |
| ldeal Measurement | 0.2% | 1.8% | 0.2% | 1% |
| RF-PGA 1 | 0.07% | 3.50% | 0.07% | 6.51% |
| RF-PGA 2 | 0.09% | 2.41% | 0.07% | 3.99% |
| RF-PGA 3 | 0.07% | 1.85% | *0.78% | 0.94% |
| RF-PGA 4 | 0.01% | 0.90% | 0.07% | 0.24% |

Conclusions

Lab Manufactured PGA:

Protocol-1 was accurate Protocol-2 was fairly accurate

Recycling Facility PGA:

The exact deleterious content was unknown Protocol-1 worked well Protocol-2 was not reliable

Additional findings:

- Added ceramics did not impact any measurements.
- Magnet process did a good job in picking steel, but it also picked a very small amount of impurities in glass.
- Acid washing process for aluminum did not produce good results. However, aluminum is less of a concern.

Future work:

- Determine plastics content accurately.
- Once the maximum allowable deleterious content is selected, evaluate engineering properties.
- Economic analysis to help catalyze use of PGA as sand borrow.



