

2017 Research Symposium

& STIC Annual Meeting

RESEARCH PROJECT TITLE

Project 737 Quantifying the Vulnerability of Vermont Bridges to Seismic Loading

STUDYTIMELINE May 2013-August 2017

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR

Mandar M. Dewoolkar Eric M. Hernandez John Lens University of Vermont Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

VIRANS CONTACT(S) Dr. Emily Parkany, P.E.

MORE INFORMATION

<u>Research will add link to the final</u> <u>report and other materials on VTrans</u> <u>website</u>

This fact sheet was prepared for the 2017 VTrans Research Symposium & STIC Annual Meeting held **on September 28, 2017** at National Life in Montpelier, VT. 8:00 am– 12:00 pm.

Fact sheets can be found for additional projects featured at the 2017 Symposium at http://vtrans.vermont.gov/plannin g/research/2017symposium

Additional information about the VTrans Research Program can be found at http://vtrans.vermont.gov/plannin g/research

Additional information about the VTrans STIC Program can be found at <u>http://vtrans.vermont.gov/boardscouncils/stic</u>

FACT SHEET

Quantifying the Vulnerability of Vermont Bridges to Seismic Loading

Introduction or What was the Problem?

Quantifying the seismic vulnerability of Vermont's bridges is vital for managing those key transportation assets. Quantification is hampered by the quantity of bridges, the degree of deterioration present, the knowledge and effort required for the quantification analysis itself, and the continually changing condition of the inventory. Adding to this is the limited guidance existing on evaluating vulnerability both for low to moderate seismic hazard regions and for older, deteriorated bridges.

Methodology or What was done?

The study began with a survey of state transportation agencies regarding their seismic vulnerability rating methods, particularly for states in low to moderate seismicity regions similar to Vermont, followed by evaluating guidance on seismic vulnerability quantification from research institutions and FHWA. Few states in low to moderate seismic regions perform system-wide seismic vulnerability evaluations, with the FHWA recommendations being the most comprehensive, although not specifically addressing deteriorated bridges. Single span bridges are generally considered to be less seismically vulnerable, and therefore, this study focused on multiple span bridges. The research involved non-linear finite element modeling of existing Vermont bridges representative of about 80 percent of the multiple span bridge inventory subjected to earthquakes specified in the current AASHTO LRFD design requirements, for both pristine and fully spalled concrete substructures. UVM developed the Vermont NBI.

Conclusion or What are the next steps?

The study indicates that Vermont's multiple span bridges are susceptible to varying degrees of earthquake damage depending on their geographic location, foundation conditions, and certain bridge features particularly those related to span continuity and support types, and alignment (skew). The bridges were assigned three levels of vulnerability – high, medium and low, using the VeRSSA screening.

The VeRSSA algorithm is specifically designed to yield a moderately conservative seismic vulnerability rating subject to subsequent refinement after obtaining more specific data on the soil and foundations, substructure concrete details, and span support dimensions at each of the bridges through plan review and site visits. The study indicates that individual bridge vulnerability ratings could improve where further bridge-specific information indicates more favorable conditions than can be justifiably inferred from what is available in the current NBI database and VTrans' supplemental data.

What are potential impacts? What is the benefit to VTrans?

This research provides VTrans with a quantitative estimate of the seismic vulnerability of the bridge inventory applicable to both individual and system-wide bridge rehabilitation and replacement decision-making in consideration of improving Vermont's transportation system disaster resilience. The resulting seismic vulnerability ratings also provide a tool for prioritizing response following an earthquake in terms of which bridges to inspect, and estimating recovery resources required.